arXiv:1202.2453v1 [math.AG] 11 Feb 2012

ON THE GEOMETRY OF HERMITIAN TWO-POINT CODES

E. BALLICO AND A. RAVAGNANI

Abstract. In this paper we study the algebraic-geometry of any two-point code on the Hermitian curve. Moreover, we describe the minimum weight codewords of some of their dual codes.

1. Introduction

Let q be a prime power and let $\mathbb{P}^2$ denote the projective plane over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the Hermitian curve (see [14], Example VI.3.6) of affine equation $y^q + y = x^{q+1}$. It is well-known that X is a maximal curve with $q^3 + 1$ $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational points (for instance, [13]). Choose $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and two distinct points $P, Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. The code obtained evaluating the vector space $L(mP + nQ)$ on $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P, Q\}$ is said to be a (classical) two-point code on X ([10], Section 1). Obviously we may consider only the pairs (m, n) such that $n + m > 0$. Otherwise the code is not of interest. Since the group of automorphisms of X, say $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$, is 2-transitive ([7], pages 572-573) we can assume, without loss of generality, that $P = P_\infty$ and $Q = P_0$, where P_∞ is the only point at infinity of $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$, of projective coordinates $(0 : 1 : 0)$, and P_0 is the point of coordinates $(0 : 0 : 1) \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Set $B := X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_\infty, P_0\}$ and denote by $C_{m,n}$ the two-point code obtained evaluating the vector space $L(mP_\infty + nP_0)$ on B. The minimum distance of such codes has been completely determined by Homma and Kim in [10] and [8]. Using different techniques, Park gave in [12] explicit formulas for their dual minimum distance. Here you are Park's statements[1].

Theorem 1 ([12], Theorem 3.3)**.** Let $G = K + mP_\infty + nP_0$, where K is a canonical divisor on X. Let $C^\perp$ be the dual of the code obtained evaluating the vector space $L(G)$ on the points of B and denote by δ its minimum distance. Write

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m_0(q+1) - m_1, \quad 0 \le m_1 \le q, \\ n &= n_0(q+1) - n_1, \quad 0 \le n_1 \le q. \end{aligned}$$

Set $d^* := m + n$ and suppose that G satisfies either

(a) $\deg(G) > \deg(K) + q$, or

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G15; 14H99; 14N05.

Key words and phrases. Hermitian code; Goppa code; two-point code; minimum-weight codeword.

Partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA.

[1] If X is the Hermitian curve and $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ then any canonical $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational divisor K on X satisfies $K \sim (q-2)(q+1)P$.

(b) $\deg(K) \le \deg(G) \le \deg(K) + q$ and $G \nsim sP_\infty$ and $G \nsim tP_0$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following formulas hold.

1. If $0 \le m_1, n_1 \le m_0 + n_0$, then $\delta = d^*$.
2. If $0 \le n_1 \le m_0 + n_0 < m_1$, then $\delta = d^* + m_1 - (m_0 + n_0)$.
3. If $0 \le m_1 \le m_0 + n_0 < n_1$, then $\delta = d^* + n_1 - (m_0 + n_0)$.
4. If $m_0 + n_0 < m_1 \le n_1 < q$, then $\delta = d^* + m_1 + n_1 - 2(m_0 + n_0)$.
5. If $m_0 + n_0 < n_1 \le m_1 < q$, then $\delta = d^* + m_1 + n_1 - 2(m_0 + n_0)$.
6. If $m_0 + n_0 < m_1, n_1$ and $m_1 = n_1 = q$, then $\delta = d^* + q - (m_0 + n_0)$.

Theorem 2 ([12], Theorem 3.5)**.** Let $G = mP_\infty + nP_0$ and let $C^\perp$ be the dual of the code obtained evaluating the vector space $L(G)$ on the points of B. Denote by δ its minimum distance and write

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m_0(q+1) + m_1, \quad 0 \le m_1 \le q, \\ n &= n_0(q+1) + n_1, \quad 0 \le n_1 \le q. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that G satisfies either

(a) $\deg(G) < \deg(K)$ or

(b) $\deg(K) \le \deg(G) \le \deg(K) + q$ and $G \sim sP_\infty$ or $G \sim tP_0$, for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $\delta = m_0 + n_0 + 2$.

The Hermitian curve X has a very particular algebraic geometry. It is deeply studied, for instance, in [7]. Let us recall some basic properties (other important facts will be stated in Section 2). For any $P, Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ we get a linear equivalence $(q+1)P \sim (q+1)Q$. Moreover, for any $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ we have an isomorphism of shaves $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \sim \mathcal{L}((q+1)P)$, the latter one being the invertible sheaf associated to the divisor $(q+1)P$ on X. By these geometric facts we easily deduce that for any pair of integers (m, n) such that $m+n > 0$ there exists a tern of integers (d, a, b) such that $d > 0$, $0 \le a, b \le q$ and $L(mP_\infty + nP_0) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$, where $E := aP_\infty + bP_0$. Hence $C_{m,n}$ turns out to be isometric to the two-point code obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ on B, here denoted by $C(d, a, b)$.

Remark 3. By the 2-transitivity of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ we may also assume $a \le b$ by permuting (if necessary) P_0 and P_∞. Moreover, note that if $b = 0$ then $C(d, a, b)$ is not a code of interest. Indeed, $C(d, a, 0)$ is a one-point code whose parameters can be easily improved by evaluating $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ on $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_\infty\}$ instead of B. Hence, from now on, we will implicitely assume $a \le b$ and $b \neq 0$ when writing $C(d, a, b)$.

Recently A. Couvreur found a way to characterize the dual minimum distance of some geometric Goppa codes in terms of their projective geometry (see [3]). We extended his approach in [1] in order to find out the dual minimum distance of some m-points codes on the Hermitian curve. Here we use the results by Park to obtain a geometric description of the minimum weight codewords of any $C(d, a, b)^\perp$ such that $2 < d \le q - 1$. To be precise, we will show that the points in their supports obey some particular geometric laws. Finally, note that the knowledge of the true dual minimum distance (i.e. Park's work) is explicitly needed in several parts of our paper.

Remark 4. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ consider the Hermitian one-point code C_m obtained evaluating the vector space $L(mP_\infty)$ on $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_\infty\}$. Our approach can be easily applied also to this kind of codes, obtaining (and extending) the results of [11]. On the other hand, the methods applied in the cited paper, based on the particular structure of certain basis of $L(mP_\infty)$ (see for instance [4]), cannot be of help in the two-point case, even if an explicit basis of $L(mP_\infty + nP_0)$ is well-known for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ([4] again).

2. The results

On first, let us state some technical lemmas about the geometry of the Hermitian curve and zero-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 5. Let X be the Hermitian curve. Every line L of $\mathbb{P}^2$ either intersects X in $q+1$ distinct ($\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-)rational points, or L is tangent to X at a point P (with contact order $q+1$). In the latter case L does not intersect X in any other $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational point different from P.

Proof. See [6], part (i) of Lemma 7.3.2, at page 247. $\square$

Lemma 6. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the Hermitian curve. Fix an integer $e \in \{2, \dots, q+1\}$ and $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Let $E \subseteq X$ be the divisor eP, seen as a closed degree e subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $L_{X,P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the tangent line to X at P. Let $T \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be any effective divisor (i.e. a plane curve, possibly with multiple components) of degree $\le e-1$ and containing E. Then $L_{X,P} \subseteq T$, i.e. $L_{X,P}$ is one of the components of T.

Proof. Since $L_{X,P}$ has order of contact $q+1 \ge e$ with X at P, we have $E \subset L_{X,P}$. Since $\deg(E) > \deg(T)$ and $E \subseteq T \cap L_{X,P}$, Bezout theorem implies $L_{X,P} \subseteq T$. $\square$

Lemma 7. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the Hermitian curve and let $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Denote by $L_{X,P}$ the tangent line to X at P. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be any irreducible smooth curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Let $L_{C,P}$ be the tangent line to C at P. Then $2P \subseteq C$ if and only if $L_{X,P} = L_{C,P}$.

Proof. Use both the definition of tangent line and Lemma 6, with $T := L_{C,P}$ and $E := 2P$. $\square$

Lemma 8. Fix integers $d > 0$, $z \ge 2$ and a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(Z) = z$.

(a) If $z \le d+1$, then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.
(b) If $d+2 \le z \le 2d+1$, then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(d)) > 0$ if and only if there is a line T_1 such that $\deg(T_1 \cap Z) \ge d+2$.
(c) If $2d+2 \le z \le 3d-1$, then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(d)) > 0$ if and only if either there is a line T_1 such that $\deg(T_1 \cap Z) \ge d+2$, or there is a conic T_2 such that $\deg(T_2 \cap Z) \ge 2d+2$.
(d) Assume $z = 3d$. Then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(d)) > 0$ if and only if either there is a line T_1 such that $\deg(T_1 \cap Z) \ge d+2$, or there is a conic T_2 such that $\deg(T_2 \cap Z) \ge 2d+2$, or there is a plane cubic T_3 such that Z is the complete intersection of T_3 and a plane curve of degree d.

(e) Assume $z \leq 4d - 5$. Then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(d)) > 0$ if and only if either there is a line T_1 such that $\deg(T_1 \cap Z) \geq d + 2$, or there is a conic T_2 such that $\deg(T_2 \cap Z) \geq 2d + 2$, or there are $W \subseteq Z$ with $\deg(W) = 3d$ and plane cubic T_3 such that W is the complete intersection of T_3 and a plane curve of degree d, or there is a plane cubic C_3 such that $\deg(C_3 \cap Z) \geq 3d + 1$.

Proof. See [1], Lemma 2. □

The previous lemma implies the following useful result.

Lemma 9. Fix an integer $m > 2$ and a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $2 \leq \deg(Z) \leq \max\{3m, 4m - 5\}$. If $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(m)) > 0$ there must exist a subscheme $W \subseteq Z$ such that one of the following cases occurs.

(a) $\deg(W) = m + 2$ and W is contained in a line;
(b) $\deg(W) = 2m + 2$ and W is contained in a conic;
(c) $\deg(W) = 3m$ and W is the complete intersection of a curve of degree 3 and a curve of degree m;
(d) $\deg(W) = 3m + 1$ and W is contained in a cubic curve.

Proof. Note that if $m > 2$ the following inequalities hold.

$$d + 1 < d + 2 < 2d + 1 < 2d + 2 \leq 3d - 1 < 3d.$$

If $m \geq 5$ then $4m - 5 \geq 3m$ and the proof is just the “ only if ” part of case (e) of Lemma 8. If $m = 4$ then observe that $3d = 12$ and $4d - 5 = 11$. Since $\deg(Z)$ is an integer we are done combining the “ only if ” part of cases (d) and (e) of Lemma 8. If $m = 3$ then $3m = 9 > 4m - 5 = 7$. If $\deg(Z) = 3m = 9$ we apply the “ only if ” part of case (d) of Lemma 8. If $\deg(Z) \leq 3m - 1$ then we are done by the “ only if ” part of cases (b) and (c) of Lemma 8. □

Lemma 10. Fix a smooth plane curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, an integer $d > 2$, a zero-dimensional scheme $E \subset X$ and a finite subset $B \subset X$ such that $B \cap E_{\mathrm{red}} = \emptyset$. Let C be the code on X obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ at the points of B. Set $c := \deg(X)$. Assume $\sharp(B) > dc$. Set $n := \sharp(B)$ and $k := h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)) - \deg(E)$, where $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)) = \binom{d+2}{2}$ if $d < c$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)) = \binom{d+2}{2} - \binom{d-c+2}{2}$ if $d \geq c$. Then C is an $[n, k]$-code and the minimum distance of $C^\perp$ is the minimal cardinality, say s, of a subset $S \subseteq B$ of B such that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{S \cup E}(d)) > h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$. A given codeword of $C^\perp$ has weight s if and only if it is supported by $S \subseteq B$ such that $\sharp(S) = s$ and $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{E \cup S}(d)) > h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$.

Proof. The computation of $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$ is well-known. We impose that B does not intersect the support of E. The case $E = \emptyset$ is a particular case of [3], Proposition 3.1. In the general case notice that C is obtained evaluating a family of homogeneous degree d polynomials (the ones vanishing on the scheme E) at the points of B. Since X is projectively normal, the restriction map

$$\rho_d : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$$

is surjective. As a consequence the restriction map

$$\rho_{d,E} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$$

is surjective. Hence a finite subset $S \subseteq X \setminus E_{\mathrm{red}}$ imposes independent condition to the vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ if and only if S imposes independent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$. On the other hand, S imposes independent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$ if and only if $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{E\cup S}(d)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$ (here we use again that $S \cap E = \emptyset$). $\square$

Remark 11. In the notations of Lemma 10 a given minimum weight codeword of $C^\perp$, whose support is S, trivially satisfies the condition $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{E\cup S}(d)) > 0$, which is described by Lemma 9.

Now go back to Section 1 and note that two terns of integers $(d, a, b) \neq (d', a', b')$ could give the same code, i.e. $C(d, a, b) = C(d', a', b')$. It is convenient for our purposes to select one favourite tern for each code. In the following result the particular geometry of the Hermitian curve X is explicitly needed.

Proposition 12. Fix integers $d > 2$, $a_i \in \{0, ..., q\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $a_1 \leq a_2$. Let r be (if it exists) the maximum integer $i \leq 2$ such that $a_i \leq d - 2 + i$. Otherwise set $r := 0$. Set $d' := d - 2 + r > 0$. If $r > 0$ set $a'_i := a_i$ for $i \leq r$ and $a'_i := 0$ otherwise. If $r = 0$ set $a'_i := 0$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the Hermitian curve and let $P_1 := P_\infty$, $P_2 := P_0$. Define $E := \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_i P_i$. If $r > 0$ define $E' := \sum_{i=1}^{2} a'_i P_i$. Otherwise set $E' := 0$. Then the codes obtained evaluating the two vector spaces $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E'))$ on $B = X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_0, P_\infty\}$ are the same code.

Proof. If $r = 2$ then $E = E'$, $d = d'$ and so we have nothing to prove. If $r = 1$ then $d' = d - 1$, $a'_1 = a_1$, $a'_2 = 0$ and $E' := a_1 P_1$. Consider E and E' as closed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of degree $a_1 + a_2$ and a_1, respectively. Since the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$$

is surjective then the restriction maps

$$\rho_E : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_E(d)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$$

and

$$\rho_{E'} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_{E'}(d)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E'))$$

are surjective themselves. Every tangent line $T_P X$ to X at a point $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has order of contact $q + 1$ with X at P (Lemma 5) and hence by Bezout's theorem it intersects X only at P. As a consequence $T_{P_2} X \cap E$ has degree a_2. Since $a_2 > d$ we get that every degree d homogeneous form vanishing on E vanishes also on the line $T_{P_2} X$, i.e. it is divided by the equation of $T_{P_2} X$. Since ρ_E and $\rho_{E'}$ are surjective and $B \cap T_{P_2} X = \emptyset$, we get that the codes obtained evaluating $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d')(-E'))$, respectively, are in fact the same code. In case $r = 0$ repeat the same procedure of case $r = 1$ with a_2 and d, and then with a_1 and $d - 1$. $\square$

Combining Lemma 9, Remark 11 and Proposition 12 we get the following result.

Proposition 13. Fix integers $d > 2$, $a_i \in \{0, ..., q\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let r, d', E and E' be as in Proposition 12. Denote by $C := C(d, a_1, a_2) = C(d', a'_1, a'_2)$ the code obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)(-E))$ on $B = X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_0, P_\infty\}$ and let $C^\perp$

be its dual code. Let $S \subseteq B$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword of $C^\perp$ and assume $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) \leq \max\{3d', 4d' - 5\}$. There exists a zero-dimensional scheme $W \subseteq E' \cup S$ which satisfies one of the following properties.

1. $\deg(W) = d' + 2$ and W is contained in a line;
2. $\deg(W) = 2d' + 2$ and W is contained in a conic;
3. $\deg(W) = 3d'$ and W is the complete intersection of a curve of degree 3 and a curve of degree d';
4. $\deg(W) = 3d' + 1$ and W is contained in a cubic curve.

3. GEOMETRY OF MINIMUM WEIGHT CODEWORDS

Following Park's Theorems 1 and 2, consider these three groups (say (G1), (G2) and (G3)) of two-point Hermitian codes $C(d, a, b)$ (assume always $d > 2$, $0 \leq a \leq b \leq q$ and $b \neq 0$ as in Remark 3). We will denote by K any canonical ($\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational) divisor on X.

(G1) The codes $C(d, a, b)$ such that $0 < d(q - 1) - a - b < \deg(K)$.
(G2) The codes $C(d, a, b)$ such that $\deg(K) \leq d(q - 1) - a - b \leq \deg(K) + q$ and $d(q + 1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0$ is not linearly equivalent to a multiple of P_∞ nor to a multiple of P_0.
(G3) The codes $C(d, a, b)$ such that $\deg(K) \leq d(q - 1) - a - b \leq \deg(K) + q$ and $d(q + 1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0$ is linearly equivalent to a multiple of P_∞ or to a multiple of P_0 .

Here we apply the results of the previous section in order to describe the minimum weight codewords of the dual one of a Hermitian two-point code.

Theorem 14. Let $C := C(d, a, b)$ be a code of group (G1), with $d > 2$, $0 \leq a \leq b \leq q$ and $b \neq 0$. Set $a_1 := a$, $a_2 := b$ and define r, d', E, E' as in Proposition 12. If $a \neq 0$ then the minimum distance of $C^\perp$ is d. Let $\{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword.

(a) If $r = 0$ then $P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear.
(b) If $r = 1$ then $P_\infty, P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear.
(c) If $r = 2$ and $d > 3$ then $P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear.
(d) If $r = 2$ and $d = 3$ then
  (d.1) either $P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear,
  (d.2) or they lie on the union of the line through P_0 and P_∞ and a smooth conic which is tangent to X at both P_0 and P_∞,
  (d.3) or they lie on an irreducible cubic which is tangent to X at both P_0 and P_∞.

If $a = 0$ then the minimum distance of $C^\perp$ is $d + 1$ and $r \neq 0$. Let $\{P_1, ..., P_{d+1}\}$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword of $C^\perp$.

(a) If $r = 1$ then $P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear.
(b) If $r = 2$ then $P_0, P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear.

Proof. Denote by L_{X,P_0}, L_{X,P_∞} the tangent lines to X at P_0 and P_∞ (respectively). Denote by $L_{0,\infty}$ the line through P_0 and P_∞. Let us consider first the case $a \neq 0$.

Keep on mind the linear equivalence

$$d(q+1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0 \sim ((d-2)(q+1) + (q+1-a))P_\infty + (q+1-b)P_0$$

and apply Theorem 2 with $m_0 := d-2$, $m_1 := q+1-a$, $n_0 := 0$ and $n_1 := q+1-b$, obtaining that the minimum distance of $C^\perp$ is $m_0+n_0+2 = d$ (here we used $b \neq 0$). Let $S := \{P_1, ..., P_d\} \subseteq B$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword of $C^\perp$. If $r = 0$ then $d' = d-2$. Since $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = d' + 2 \leq \max\{3d', 4d'-5\}$ then Proposition 13 applies: there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $d'+2 = d-2+2 = d$ contained in a line, and we are done. If $r = 1$ then $a \leq d-1 < b$ and $d' := d-2+1 = d-1$. Since $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) \leq (d-1)+d = 2d-1 = 2(d'+1)-1 = 2d'+1 \leq \max\{3d', 4d'-5\}$ then Proposition 13 applies and there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty \cup \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $d+1$ and contained in a line L. It is immediately seen that $P_\infty \in W$ and $L \neq L_{X,P_\infty}$ (since $a \leq d-1$ and Lemma 5 applies). Hence the points $P_\infty, P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear. If $r = 2$ then $d' = d$ and $a \leq b \leq d$. In this case $E' = E = aP_\infty + bP_0$. We have $\deg(E) + \sharp(S) \leq 3d \leq \max\{3d, 4d-5\}$ and then Proposition 13 applies: either there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $d+2$ and contained in a line L, or there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $2d+2$ and contained in a conic T, or there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $3d$ which is the complete intersection of a curve of degree 3 and one of degree d. In the former case, since $\deg(W) = d+2$, we see that both P_∞ and P_0 must lie on L, unless $L = L_{X,P_\infty}$ or $L = L_{X,P_0}$, which is forbidded by Lemma 5. All the points $P_1, ..., P_d$ lie on the line $L_{0,\infty}$. Now assume $\deg(W) = 2d+2$ and W contained in a conic T. The sum of the multiplicities of P_∞ and P_0 in W, say $e_W(P_\infty)$ and $e_W(P_0)$, must satisfy $e_W(P_\infty) + e_W(P_0) \geq (2d+2) - d = d+2 > 4$. As a consequence (Lemma 6) $T = L_{X,P_0} \cup L$ or $T = L_{X,P_\infty} \cup L$, with L a line. In any case (here we use Lemma 5) $P_1, ..., P_d$ must lie on L. Finally, assume $\deg(W) = 3d$. Then $a = b = d > 2$ and $dP_\infty + dP_0 + P_1, ..., P_d$ is the complete intersection of a cubic curve C and a curve of degree d. If $d > 3$ then Lemma 6 implies $L_{X,P_\infty} \subseteq C$ and $L_{X,P_0} \subseteq C$. By Lemma 5 $P_1, ..., P_d$ are collinear again (they lie on the line $C - L_{X,P_\infty} - L_{X,P_0}$). If $d = 3$ then it occurs exactly one of the following cases.

- The cubic C is reducible and a degree one component of C, say L, is tangent to X.
    - If $L \neq L_{X,P_\infty}$ and $L \neq L_{X,P_0}$ then Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 imply (keeping on mind our assumption $d > 2$) $L_{X,P_0}, L_{X,P_\infty} \subseteq C - L$. This is forbidden by Lemma 5.
    - If $L = L_{X,P_\infty}$ (or $L = L_{X,P_0}$) then ($d > 2$) Lemma 6 and Lemma 5 imply also $L_{X,P_0} \subseteq C - L_{X,P_\infty}$ (or $L_{X,P_\infty} \subseteq C - L_{X,P_0}$). Hence $P_1, ..., P_d$ lie on the line $C - L_{X,P_\infty} - L_{X,P_0}$.
- The cubic C is reducible and no degree one component of it is tangent to X. Let $L \subseteq C$ be a line. By hypothesis it is not tangent to X.
    - If L is not the line through P_0 and P_∞ then $3P_\infty \subseteq C - L$ or $3P_0 \subseteq C - L$ (or both). In any case, since $2P_\infty + 2P_0 \subseteq C - L$, we have $L_{X,P_\infty} \subseteq C$ and $L_{X,P_0} \subseteq C$ (here we used Lemma 5 again). As a consequence, $P_1, ..., P_d$ lie on L (Lemma 5).

  - If L is the line through P_0 and P_∞ then either $C = L \cup L_{X,P_0} \cup L_{X,P_\infty}$ and $P_1, ..., P_d$ lie on L (by Lemma 5), or $C = L \cup T$, and T is a smooth conic tangent to X at both P_0 and P_∞ (remember that $2P_\infty + 2P_0 \subseteq C - L$ and Lemma 7 holds).
- The cubic C is an irreducible curve and tangent (by Lemma 7) to X at both P_0 and P_∞.

Now consider the simpler case $a = 0$. Theorem 2 proves that the minimum distance is $d + 1$. If $r = 0$ then $b > d$ and $0 = a > d - 1$, but we assumed $d > 2$. If $r = 1$ then $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = \sharp(S) = d + 1 = d' + 2 \leq \max\{3d', 4d' - 5\}$ and Proposition 13 applies: there exists subscheme $W \subseteq \{P_1, ..., P_{d+1}\}$ of degree $d' + 2 = d + 1$ and contained in a line. In particular $P_1, ..., P_{d+1}$ turns out to be collinear. If $r = 2$ then $b \leq d$, $E' = E$ and $d' = d$. We see that $\deg(E) + \sharp(S) \leq d + (d + 1) = 2d + 1 \leq \max\{3d, 4d - 5\}$ and Proposition 13 applies: there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_d\}$ of degree $d + 2$ and contained in a line L. By Lemma 5, L cannot be the tangent line to X at P_0. As a consequence, P_0 appears is L with multiplicity exactly one and so $P_0, P_1, ..., P_{d+1}$ are collinear. □

To complete our analysis we need the following geometric result.

Lemma 15. Let X be the Hermitian curve. Let $P, Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ with $P \neq Q$. Assume $nP \sim nQ$ for a certain $0 \leq n \leq q$. Then $n = 0$.

Proof. Assume $n \neq 0$. Let $h := \gcd(n, q + 1) \leq n \leq q$. Write $h = \alpha n + \beta(q + 1)$. We deduce the linear equivalences $hP \sim \alpha nP + \beta(q + 1)P$ and $hQ \sim \alpha nQ + \beta(q + 1)Q$. Since $nP \sim nQ$ then $\alpha nP \sim \alpha nQ$. Hence $hP - \beta(q + 1)P \sim hQ - \beta(q + 1)Q$. Since $\beta(q+1)P \sim \beta(q+1)Q$ (as described in Section 1) we have $hP \sim hQ$, with $1 \leq h \leq q$ such that h divides $q + 1$. This implies that the gonality of X is at most $h < q$, but it is well-known that X has gonality exactly q ([7], Remark 9.50 (iii) at page 357). This implies $n = 0$. □

Remark 16. If $C(d, a, b)$ is in group (G3) then we have either $d(q+1) - aP_\infty - bP_0 \sim sP_\infty$ for a certain $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, or $d(q + 1) - aP_\infty - bP_0 \sim tP_0$ for a certain $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the former case $s = d(q + 1) - a - b$ and hence $bP_0 \sim bP_\infty$. Since $b \leq q$ we deduce (Lemma 15) $b = 0$, which contradicts our assumptions (Remark 3). In the latter case we get $d(q + 1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0 \sim d(q + 1)P_0 - aP_0 - bP_0$. Since $(q + 1)P_\infty \sim (q + 1)P_0$ (see Section 1) we deduce $aP_\infty \sim aP_0$ and then (Lemma 15 again) $a = 0$.

Since we assumed $b \neq 0$ (Remark 3) and a canonical divisor K on X has degree[2] $q^2 - q - 2$, we immediately see that if $d < q - 1$ then $C(d, a, b)$ is of group (G1), for any choice of $0 \leq a \leq b \leq q$. If $d = q - 1$ and $a \neq 0$ then $C(d, a, b)$ could be either in group (G1), or in group (G2). Indeed, $(q - 1)(q + 1) - a - b \leq q^2 - 2$ for any $1 \leq a \leq b \leq q$ and group (G3) is excluded by Remark 16. On the other hand, $C(q-1, 0, b)$ is always in group (G3), because $q^2 - q - 2 \leq (q-1)(q+1) - b \leq q^2 - 2$ for any $1 \leq b \leq q$. This proves that in order to complete our study of case $d = q - 1$

---

[2] Any smooth plane curve of degree c has genus $g(X) = (c - 1)(c - 2)/2$. The degree of a canonical divisor K on X is always $2g(X) - 2$. Remember that the Hermitian curve has degree $q + 1$.

we can analyse separately the codes $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2), which satisfy $1 \le a \le b \le q$, and the codes of the form $C(q-1,0,b)$ (obviously $b \le q$), which are in group (G3). Note that in case $d = q-1$ our usual assumption $d > 2$ is equivalent to $q > 3$.

3.1. **Codes $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2).** Here you are a characterization of the codes $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2).

Remark 17. A code $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2) satisfies (combining Remark 3 and Remark 16) $1 \le a \le b \le q$. The condition of being in group (G2) is

$$q^2 - q - 2 \le (q-1)(q+1) - a - b \le q^2 - 2,$$

which is equivalent to $a + b \le q + 1$ (the right-hand side condition always holds).

Now consider the linear equivalence

$$\begin{aligned}(q-1)(q+1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0 &\sim (q-2)(q+1)P_\infty + (q+1-a)P_\infty - bP_0 \\ &\sim K + (q+1-a)P_\infty - bP_0\end{aligned}$$

and apply Theorem 1 with $m = q+1-a$, $n = -b$, $m_0 = 1$, $m_1 = a$, $n_0 = 0$ and $n_1 = b$. Since we assumed $a \le b$ and Remark 17 holds, only Park's cases (1), (3) and (4) of Theorem 1 are of our interest. The dual minimum distance, say $\delta(q-1,a,b)$, of a code $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2) can be read in Table 1.

Park's case →	(1)	(3)	(4)
$\delta(q-1,a,b)$	$q+1-a-b$	$q-a$	$q-1$

Table 1. Dual minimum distance of a code $C(q-1,a,b)$ of group (G2).

Theorem 18. Let $C(q-1,a,b)$ be a code of group (G2) (conditions $d = q-1 > 2$, $1 \le a \le b \le q$ and $a + b \le q + 2$ will be implicitely assumed). Let $\delta := \delta(q-1,a,b)$ be the dual minimum distance of $C(q-1,a,b)$ and let $S := \{P_1, ..., P_\delta\}$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword. There occurs one of the following situations.

(a) $P_1, ..., P_\delta$ lie on the line through P_0 and P_∞.
(b) $P_\infty, P_1, ..., P_\delta$ are collinear.
(c) $P_0, P_1, ..., P_\delta$ are collinear.

Proof. Let us examine separately cases (1), (3) and (4) of Theorem 2. In the notations of Proposition 12 set $a_1 := a$, $a_2 := b$, $d := q-1$, $E := aP_\infty + bP_0$ and define E' and d' as in the statement.

(1) If $C(q-1,a,b)$ is described by case (1) of Theorem 2 then $a, b \le 1$. Hence $a = b = 1$ and the dual minimum distance is $\delta = q-1$ (see Table 1). Since $d > 2$ we have $E' = E$ and $d' = d$. Note that $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = q - 1 + 2 = q + 1 = d + 2 \le \max\{3d, 4d-5\}$ and Proposition 13 applies: there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq P_\infty + P_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_{q-1}\}$ of degree $q+1$ and contained in a line. This means that $P_1, ..., P_{q-1}$ lie on the line through P_∞ and P_0.

(2) If $C(q-1,a,b)$ is described by case (3) of Theorem 2 then $0 \leq a \leq 1 < b$. Hence $a = 1$.
  (2.i) If $b < q$ then $d' = d$, $E' = E$ and the dual minimum distance is $\delta = q - a = q - 1$. Note that $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = (q-1) + (1+b) = q + b = d + 1 + b \leq \max\{3d, 4d-5\}$ and Proposition 13 applies: there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq P_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_{q-1}\}$ of degree $q+1$ and contained in a line[3]. By Lemma 5, P_0 must appear in W with multiplicitly exactly one and $P_1, ..., P_{q-1}$ lie on the line through P_∞ and P_0.
  (2.ii) If $b = q = d + 1$ then $d' = d - 1$, $E' = P_\infty$ and the dual minimum distance is $\delta = q - a = q - 1$. Since $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = q - 1 + 1 = q = d' + 2 \leq \max\{3d', 4d' - 5\}$, Proposition 13 applies and there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq P_\infty \cup \{P_1, ..., P_{q-1}\}$ of degree $d' + 2 = q$ and contained in a line. In other words $P_\infty, P_1, ..., P_d$ turns out to be collinear.

(3) If $C(q-1,a,b)$ is described by case (4) of Theorem 2 then $1 < a \leq b < q$. In particular $b \leq d$, $d' = d$ and $E' = E$. The dual minimum distance is $\delta = q - 1$ and $\deg(E) + \sharp(S) = q - 1 + a + b = d + a + b \leq d + (q+1) = 2d+2 \leq \max\{3d, 4d-5\}$. Hence Proposition 13 applies: either there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_{q-1}\}$ of degree $q+1$ and contained in a line L, or there exists a subscheme $W \subseteq aP_\infty + bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_{q-1}\}$ of degree $2q$ and contained in a conic T. In the former case Lemma 5 implies that P_∞ and P_0 appear in W with multiplicity exactly one and then $P_1, ..., P_{q-1}$ lie on the line through P_∞ and P_0. In the latter case it's immediately seen that $W = aP_\infty + bP_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} P_i$. Since $\deg(W) = 2q$ it must be $a + b = q + 1$. Since our general assumption $d = q - 1 > 2$ holds, it follows $\min\{a, b\} > 2$ and then $L_{X,P_\infty} \subseteq T$ or $L_{X,P_0} \subseteq X$ (Lemma 6). In any case (Lemma 5) either $P_0, P_1, ..., P_{q-1}$ are collinear, or $P_\infty, P_1, ..., P_{q-1}$ are collinear.

This concludes our proof. □

3.2. **Codes $C(q-1,0,b)$ of group (G3).** If $C(q-1,0,b)$ is a code of group (G3) (i.e. $d = q - 1 > 2$, $a = 0$, $1 \leq b \leq q$) then its minimum distance, $\delta(q-1,0,b)$, is given by Theorem 2. Indeed, choose $m = (q-1)(q+1)$, $m_0 = q - 1$, $m_1 = 0$, $n = -b$, $n_0 = -1$, $n_1 = q + 1 - b$ and compute $\delta(q-1,0,b) = q$. Let $S := \{P_1, ..., P_q\}$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword. In the notations of Proposition 12 set $a_1 := 0$, $a_2 := b$, $E := bP_0$ and define d' and E' as in the statement. If $b \leq d = q - 1$ then $E' = E$ and $\deg(E) + \sharp(S) \leq q - 1 + q = 2q - 1 = 2d + 1 \leq \max\{3d, 4d-5\}$. Hence Proposition 13 applies and gives a zero-dimensional scheme $W \subseteq bP_0 \cup \{P_1, ..., P_q\}$ of degree $q + 1$ and contained in a line. By Lemma 5 the multiplicity of P_0 in W must be exactly one and then $P_0, P_1, ..., P_q$ lie on L. If $d = q$ then $E' = 0$ and $d' = d - 1 = q - 2$. Since $\deg(E') + \sharp(S) = q = d + 1$ Proposition 13 applies: there exists a $W \subseteq \{P_1, ..., P_q\}$ of degree $d' + 2 = (d-1) + 2 = q$ and contained in a line. It follows that $P_1, ..., P_q$ are collinear.

Let us summarize the previous analysis in the following concise result.

---

[3] The case $\deg(W) \geq 2d + 2 = 2q$ implies $b \geq q$, which contradicts our hypothesis on b.

Theorem 19. Consider a code $C(q-1,0,b)$ of group (G3). Its dual minimum distance is q. Let $\{P_1,...,P_q\}$ be the support of a minimum weight codeword. Then $P_1,...,P_q$ are collinear. Moreover, if $b \le q-1$ then even $P_0,P_1,...,P_q$ are collinear.

4. COMPUTATIONAL EXAMPLES

If q is quite small our results can be checked by writing simple `Magma` programs (`http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au`). Here you are some outputs.

Example 20. Let $q = 4$ ($q^2 = 16$) and let X be the Hermitian curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ by the affine equation $y^4 + y = x^5$. Consider the Hermitian two-point code C obtained evaluating the vector space $L(10P_\infty + 3P_0)$ on $X(\mathbb{F}_{16}) \setminus \{P_\infty, P_0\}$ (here P_∞ and P_0 are those defined in Section 1). Write $10P_\infty + 3P_0 \sim d(q+1)P_\infty - aP_\infty - bP_0$ with $(d,a,b) = (3,0,2)$. Note that $0 \le a \le b \le q$ and $d = q-1$. Hence our code C is in fact the code $C(3,0,1)$, with $q = 4$, described by Theorem 19. If $\mathbb{F}_{16} = \langle\alpha\rangle$ and $\alpha^4 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ then a (random) minimum weight codeword of $C^\perp$ is

$$\begin{array}{ll}(& 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,\\ & 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\alpha^3,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\alpha^{12},0,0,0,\alpha^9,0,0,0 \ \ )\end{array}$$

with support made of the points of affine coordinates

$$P_1 := (\alpha^7,\alpha^7), \ \ P_2 := (\alpha^{11},\alpha^{11}), \ \ P_3 := (\alpha^{13},\alpha^{13}), \ \ P_4 := (\alpha^{14},\alpha^{14}).$$

Note that the points are in fact four (Table 1 says that the dual minimum distance of C has to be 4) and they lie (together with P_0) on the line defined over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ by the homogeneous equation $x - y = 0$, as described in Theorem 19.

Example 21. In the same notations of Example 20 choose $q = 5$ and $(d,a,b) = (4,1,1)$. Note that $d = q-1$ and $b = 1$. Hence $C(4,1,1)$ (with $q = 5$) is described by Theorem 18. From the proof we get that the dual minimum distance must be $q-1 = 4$ and points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 of the support of a minimum weight codeword must lie on the line through P_0 and P_∞ (whose equation is $x = 0$). If $\mathbb{F}_{125} = \langle\beta\rangle$ (with $\beta^2 + 4\beta + 2 = 0$) and we compute the support of a (random) minimum weight codeword of $C(4,1,1)^\perp$ we find out the points of affine coordinates

$$P_1 := (0,\beta^3), \ \ P_2 := (0,\beta^9), \ \ P_3 := (0,\beta^{15}), \ \ P_4 := (0,\beta^{21}).$$

As you see they all lie on the line of equation $x = 0$.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Ballico, A. Ravagnani, *On Goppa Codes on the Hermitian Curve*. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.0894`.

[2] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, M. Idà, *Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors*. J. Symbolic. Comput. **46**(1), 34–53 (2011).

[3] A. Couvreur, *The dual minimum distance of arbitrary dimensional algebraic-geometric codes*. J. of Algebra **350**(1), 84–107 (2012).

[4] I. M. Duursma, R. Kirov, *Improved Two-Point Codes on Hermitian Curves*. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, **57**(7), 4469–4476 (2011).

[5] Ph. Ellia, Ch. Peskine, *Groupes de points de* $\mathbf{P}^2$*: caractère et position uniforme*. Algebraic geometry (L'Aquila, 1988), 111–116, Lecture Notes in Math., 1417, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[6] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

[7] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros, F. Torres, *Algebraic Curves over a Finite Field*. Princeton University Press, 2008.

[8] M. Homma, S. J. Kim, *The Two-point Codes on a Hermitian Curve with the Designed Minimum Distance*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 38, 55–81 (2006).

[9] M. Homma, S. J. Kim, *The two-point codes with the designed distance on a Hermitian curve in even characteristic*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 39, 375–386 (2006).

[10] M. Homma, S. J. Kim, *Toward the Determination of the Minimum Distance of Two-Point Codes on a Hermitian Curve*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 37, 111–132 (2005).

[11] C. Marcolla, M. Pellegrini, M. Sala *On the weights of affine-variety codes and some Hermitian codes*. WCC 2011 - Workshop on coding and cryptography, 273–282 (2011).

[12] S. Park, *Minimum distance of Hermitian two-point codes*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 57, 195–213 (2010).

[13] S. A. Stepanov, *Codes on Algebraic Curves*. Springer, 1999.

[14] Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, Second Edition. Springer-Verlag, 2009.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY
E-mail address: `ballico@science.unitn.it`

UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY
E-mail address: `alberto.ravagnani@unitn.it`